

## THE CURVATURE GROUPS OF A PSEUDO-RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLD

SAMUEL I. GOLDBERG & NICHOLAS C. PETRIDIS

### 1. Introduction

A cochain complex associated with the Levi-Civita connection  $\Gamma$  of an  $n$ -dimensional (pseudo-) Riemannian manifold  $(M, \gamma)$  with metric  $\gamma$  is introduced. Its cohomology groups  $H^p(M, \Gamma)$ ,  $p = 1, \dots, n$ , called the curvature groups, are investigated, and it is shown that they are isomorphic with the cohomology groups  $H^p(M, \mathcal{S})$  of  $M$  with coefficients in a subsheaf  $\mathcal{S}$  of the sheaf of germs of infinitesimal homothetic transformations of  $M$ . This extends the principal result of I. Vaisman [2] concerning locally flat manifolds. The covariant form of the elements of  $\mathcal{S}$  defined by duality in terms of the metric  $\gamma$  are closed. Curvature is introduced by means of the integrability conditions of the differential system defining the elements of  $\mathcal{S}$ . As a consequence, if the Ricci tensor is nondegenerate everywhere, then the curvature groups vanish. In particular, if  $\gamma$  is an Einstein metric and at least one of the curvature groups is not trivial, then it is Ricci flat. More generally, if the scalar curvature is a nonzero constant, but  $(M, \gamma)$  is not necessarily an Einstein space, then the curvature groups are isomorphic with the cohomology groups of  $M$  with coefficients in the sheaf of germs of its parallel vector fields. On the other hand, if  $\mathcal{S}$  is not empty and there are no parallel vector fields (locally), then the groups  $H^p(M, \Gamma)$  are isomorphic with the corresponding de Rham groups of  $M$ .

### 2. Tensorial $p$ -forms

Let  $P(M, G)$  be a principal fibre bundle over  $M$  with group  $G$ ,  $\Gamma$  a connection in  $P$ ,  $E$  a finite dimensional vector space, and  $\rho$  a linear representation of  $G$  in  $E$ .

A tensorial  $p$ -form,  $p \geq 1$ , of type  $\rho(G)$  is a  $p$ -form  $\varphi$  on  $P$  with values in  $E$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (i)  $\varphi(X_1, \dots, X_p) = 0$ , whenever at least one of the  $X_i \in T_u(P)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, p$ , is vertical;
- (ii)  $\varphi(R_{g^*}X_1, \dots, R_{g^*}X_p) = \rho^{-1}(g)\varphi(X_1, \dots, X_p)$ ,  $\forall g \in G$  where  $R_{g^*}$  de-

---

Communicated by K. Yano, May 24, 1973. The first author was partially supported by the National Science Foundation.

notes the linear mapping induced on the tangent space  $T_u(P)$  by the right translation  $R_g$  by which  $G$  operates on  $P$ .

For  $p = 0$  we have a tensor of type  $\rho(G)$ , which is a mapping  $u \rightarrow \varphi(u)$  of  $P$  into  $E$  such that

$$\varphi(R_g(u)) = \rho^{-1}(g)\varphi(u),$$

which we shall consider as a 0-form of type  $\rho(G)$ .

Given a tensorial  $p$ -form  $\varphi$  on  $P$  of type  $\rho(G)$  a  $p$ -form on  $M$  can be defined as follows. Let  $\{U_\alpha\}$  be an open covering of  $M$  by coordinate neighborhoods, and  $\psi_\alpha: \pi^{-1}(U_\alpha) \rightarrow U_\alpha \times G$  diffeomorphisms with corresponding transition functions  $\psi_{\alpha\beta}: U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \rightarrow G$ . For each  $U_\alpha$ , we define

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi_\alpha(X_1, \dots, X_p) &= \rho(\sigma_\alpha(u))\varphi(X_1^*, \dots, X_p^*), \\ \psi_\alpha(u) &= (\pi(u), \sigma_\alpha(u)), \end{aligned}$$

where  $X_j \in T_x(M)$ ,  $X_j^*$  is the unique horizontal lift of  $X_j$  to  $u \in \pi^{-1}(U_\alpha)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, p$ , and  $\pi(u) = x$ . We see immediately that for  $x \in U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$ ,

$$\varphi_\alpha(X_1, \dots, X_p) = \rho(\psi_{\alpha\beta})\varphi_\beta(X_1, \dots, X_p).$$

Conversely, if for a given coordinate covering  $\{U_\alpha\}$  of  $M$  with corresponding transition functions  $\psi_{\alpha\beta}$  there exist local forms  $\varphi_\alpha$  with values in  $E$  satisfying (1), then a tensorial  $p$ -form  $\varphi$  on  $P$  of type  $\rho(G)$  is determined. For example, if for a given covering  $\{U_\alpha\}$  of  $M$  a connection  $\Gamma$  is defined by its 1-forms  $\{\omega_\alpha\}$ , then the curvature forms defined by

$$\Omega_\alpha = d\omega_\alpha + \frac{1}{2}[\omega_\alpha, \omega_\alpha]$$

determine a tensorial 2-form on  $P$  of type  $\text{ad } G$  with values in the Lie algebra of  $G$ .

In general, the exterior differential of a  $p$ -form does not preserve its tensorial character. However, the covariant differential does and is defined as follows. Let  $\varphi$  be a  $p$ -form on  $P$  with values in  $E$ . The covariant differential  $\nabla\varphi$ , with respect to a given connection  $\Gamma$  on  $P$  is a  $(p+1)$ -form defined by

$$\nabla\varphi(X_1, \dots, X_{p+1}) = d\varphi(hX_1, \dots, hX_{p+1}),$$

where  $d$  is the exterior differential operator and  $hX_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, p+1$ , denotes the horizontal component of  $X_i \in T_u(P)$  with respect to the connection  $\Gamma$ .

If  $\varphi$  is a  $p$ -form of type  $\rho(G)$ , then  $\nabla\varphi$  is a tensorial  $(p+1)$ -form of the same type. For example, the connection form  $\omega$  of  $\Gamma$  on  $P$  is a 1-form of type  $\text{ad } G$ , and

$$(2) \quad \Omega = \nabla \omega$$

is a tensorial 2-form of the same type defining the curvature form of  $\Gamma$ . The Bianchi identity gives

$$(3) \quad \nabla \Omega = 0.$$

The local forms  $\nabla \varphi_\alpha$  of  $\nabla \varphi$ , corresponding to a covering  $\{U_\alpha\}$  of  $M$ , are given by

$$(4) \quad (\nabla \varphi)_\alpha = d\varphi_\alpha + \bar{\rho}(\omega_\alpha) \wedge \varphi_\alpha,$$

where  $\bar{\rho}$  is the representation of the Lie algebra of  $G$  in  $E$ , induced by  $\rho$ , and the  $\omega_\alpha$  are the connection forms on  $M$  corresponding to the given covering.

From now on,  $P(M, G)$  will be the bundle of frames with structure group  $G = GL(n, R)$ , the general linear group over the reals  $R$ , where  $n = \dim M$ , and  $E = R^n$ . The canonical or solder form  $\eta$  of  $P$  is the  $R^n$ -valued 1-form on  $P$  defined by

$$\eta(X) = u^{-1}\pi(X)$$

for  $X \in T_u(P)$ , where the frame  $u \in P$  is considered as a linear mapping  $u: R^n \rightarrow T_{\pi(u)}(M)$ . The form  $\eta$  is a tensorial 1-form on  $P$  with values in  $R^n$ , and the torsion of the connection  $\Gamma$  is assumed to be zero, i.e.,

$$(5) \quad \nabla \eta = 0.$$

If  $\varphi^i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , are the components of  $\varphi_\alpha$ , and  $(\omega_\alpha^i)$ ,  $(\Omega_\alpha^i)$  the matrices of  $\omega_\alpha$ ,  $\Omega_\alpha$  respectively, then formulas (2) and (4) become

$$(6) \quad \Omega_\alpha^i = -d\omega_\alpha^i + \omega_\alpha^k \wedge \omega_\alpha^j,$$

$$(7) \quad (\nabla \varphi)^i = d\varphi^i + \omega_\alpha^k \wedge \varphi^j.$$

Moreover,

$$(8) \quad (\nabla^2 \varphi)^i = -\Omega_\alpha^i \wedge \varphi^j.$$

(The summation convention is employed here and in the sequel.)

If  $f$  is a scalar-valued  $q$ -form on  $M$ , then by applying (7)

$$(9) \quad (\nabla(\varphi \wedge f))^i = \nabla \varphi^i \wedge f + (-1)^p \varphi^i \wedge df.$$

### 3. Tensorial $p$ -jet forms

In the following by a tensor  $p$ -form on  $M$  of type  $\rho(G)$  we will understand the forms defined on  $M$  by a tensorial  $p$ -form on  $P$  of type  $\rho(G)$ , as given by

(1). It is easy to see that the tensor  $p$ -forms of type  $\rho(G)$  on  $M$  define a module  $\mathcal{T}^p$  over the ring  $\mathfrak{F}$  of differentiable functions on  $M$ , and (8) shows that the  $p$ -forms  $\{\mathcal{F}^2 T\}$  define an  $\mathfrak{F}$ -submodule  $\mathcal{D}^p$  of  $\mathcal{T}^p$ .

A *tensorial  $p$ -jet form* of type  $\rho(G)$  on  $M$  is a pair  $(T, S)$  of tensor forms of type  $\rho(G)$  and of degrees  $p$  and  $p + 1$ , respectively [1]. Let  $J^p$  denote the  $\mathfrak{F}$ -module of these forms, and let  $K^p$  be the submodule of  $J^p$  defined by the jet-forms  $(T, S)$  with  $S \in \mathcal{D}^{p+1}$ . If  $M$  is a Riemannian manifold of constant curvature, the modules  $K^p$  for  $p = 1, \dots, n - 1$  are isomorphic with the modules  $L^p$  defined by the pairs  $(\lambda, \alpha)$ , where  $\lambda$  is an  $R^n$ -valued tensor  $p$ -form and  $\alpha$  is a scalar  $p$ -form [2]. More generally, instead of  $\Omega$  one may consider a  $k$ -form  $\theta$  on an  $n$ -dimensional manifold  $M$  which is locally expressible as  $dy^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dy^k$ , and tensorial jet-forms  $(T, S)$  defined in an analogous manner. In particular, the curvature form of a manifold of constant curvature has this local representation.

Let  $\tilde{L}^p$  denote the submodule of  $L^p$  defined by those elements  $(\lambda, \alpha) \in L^p$  such that  $\mathcal{F}^2 \lambda = 0$ . Note that  $\tilde{L}^p = L^p$  for  $p = n - 1, n$ , and that  $(\eta \wedge \varphi, \alpha) \in \tilde{L}^p$  for any scalar-valued  $(p - 1)$ -form  $\varphi$  and  $p$ -form  $\alpha$  on  $M$ . We define an operator  $D^p$  on  $\tilde{L}^p$  as follows:

$$(10) \quad D^p(\lambda, \alpha) = (\mathcal{F}\lambda - \eta \wedge \alpha, d\alpha).$$

Clearly,  $D^p: \tilde{L}^p \rightarrow \tilde{L}^{p+1}$ , and from (10) we have  $D^{p+1} \circ D^p = 0$ . In the sequel, we shall occasionally write  $D$  for  $D^p$ ,  $p = 0, 1, \dots, n$ .

A multiplication between the elements of  $\tilde{L} = \bigoplus_{p=0}^n \tilde{L}^p$  is defined as follows:

$$(11) \quad (\lambda, \alpha) \times (\mu, \beta) = (\lambda \wedge \mu + \alpha \wedge \beta, 2\alpha \wedge \beta),$$

where  $(\lambda, \alpha) \in \tilde{L}^p$ ,  $(\mu, \beta) \in \tilde{L}^q$ . Clearly,  $(\lambda, \alpha) \times (\mu, \beta) \in \tilde{L}^{p+q}$ , and we have

$$(\lambda, \alpha) \times (\mu, \beta) = (-1)^{pq}(\mu, \beta) \times (\lambda, \alpha).$$

A simple computation shows that

$$D[(\lambda, \alpha) \times (\mu, \beta)] = D(\lambda, \alpha) \times (\mu, \beta) + (-1)^p(\lambda, \alpha) \times D(\mu, \beta).$$

Thus  $\tilde{L}$  is a graded ring, and  $D$  is a derivation on  $\tilde{L}$ .

Note that (i) if one of the factors  $(\lambda, \alpha)$ ,  $(\mu, \beta)$  is  $D$ -closed, then the product is  $D$ -closed; (ii) if one of the factors is  $D$ -closed and the other is  $D$ -exact, then the product is  $D$ -exact.

Consider the cochain complex

$$\tilde{L} = \left( \bigoplus_{p=0}^n \tilde{L}^p, D^p \right),$$

and assume that the Poincaré lemma for  $D$  holds, viz., on an open ball in  $R^n$

every  $D$ -closed element of  $\tilde{L}^p$ ,  $p > 0$ , is  $D$ -exact. This is certainly the case if  $M$  is locally flat. On the other hand, if we consider the submodules of  $\tilde{L}^p$ ,  $p \leq n - 1$ , consisting of the pairs  $(\eta \wedge \varphi, \alpha)$ , the Poincaré lemma is again valid. The cohomology groups

$$(12) \quad H^p(\tilde{L}) = \text{Ker } D^p / \text{Im } D^{p-1}, \quad p = 1, \dots, n,$$

will be called the *curvature groups of the connection*  $\Gamma$ . We shall also write  $H^p(M, \Gamma)$  for  $H^p(\tilde{L})$ , and define  $H^0(M, \Gamma)$  to be  $\text{Ker } D^0$ .

#### 4. $s$ -fields

Suppose now that the manifold  $M$  is pseudo-Riemannian with metric  $\gamma$ . The system of first order partial differential equations

$$(13) \quad \nabla_j X^k = f \delta_j^k,$$

where  $\delta_j^k$  is the Kronecker delta and  $f$  is a  $C^\infty$  function, defines an infinitesimal conformal transformation  $X$  of  $(M, \gamma)$ . This system may be written in the form

$$(14) \quad \nabla_j \xi_i = f \gamma_{ij},$$

where  $\xi_i = \gamma_{ik} X^k$ . The 1-form  $\xi = \xi_i dx^i$  defined by duality in terms of the metric is therefore closed. Hence by the Poincaré lemma  $\xi$  is (locally) the gradient of a function. The (special) infinitesimal conformal transformations characterized by (13) will be called *s-fields*. The  $s$ -fields define an additive abelian group  $S$  but not an  $\mathfrak{X}$ -module.

The integrability conditions of (13) yield

$$(15) \quad X^r R^i_{rjk} = \nabla_k f \delta_j^i - \nabla_j f \delta_k^i,$$

where  $\Omega_j^i = R^i_{jki} dx^k \wedge dx^i$ . Contracting (15) gives

$$(16) \quad X^r R_{rj} = -(n - 1) \nabla_j f,$$

where  $R_{jk} = R^i_{jki}$  is the Ricci tensor of  $(M, \gamma)$ . Substituting (16) in (15), we get

$$(17) \quad X^r W^i_{rjk} = 0,$$

where the tensor field

$$W^i_{jkl} = R^i_{jkl} - \frac{1}{n - 1} (R_{jk} \delta_l^i - R_{jl} \delta_k^i)$$

is the Weyl projective curvature tensor. Thus (17) gives a necessary condition

for (13) to have a solution. In particular, this condition is satisfied if  $(M, \gamma)$  is projectively flat.

In the sequel, we will be particularly interested in the case where  $f = \text{constant}$  in (13). In this case, from (15)

$$(18) \quad X^r R^i_{rjk} = 0,$$

which is satisfied if  $\gamma$  is Ricci flat, as can be seen from (16). From (16) we see that if  $f$  is constant and the Ricci tensor is nondegenerate at each point of  $M$ , then there are no nontrivial solutions of the system (13). The vector fields satisfying (13) with  $f = \text{constant}$  are infinitesimal homothetic transformations.

**5. Cohomology with coefficients in the sheaf of germs of  $s$ -fields**

Let  $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$  be the subspace of  $s$ -fields characterized as solutions of (13) with  $f = c$  (constant) which we shall call *homothetic  $s$ -fields*. There is a monomorphism

$$i: \tilde{\mathcal{S}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{L}}^0$$

given by  $i(X) = (X, c)$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the sheaf of germs of homothetic  $s$ -fields of  $M$  and  $\mathcal{L}^p, p \geq 0$ , the sheaves of germs associated with the modules  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}^p$ . The mapping  $D: \tilde{\mathcal{L}}^p \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{L}}^{p+1}$  induces a mapping  $\mathcal{L}^p \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{p+1}$  which we again denote by  $D$ . We then have a sequence of sheaf homomorphisms

$$(19) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{S} \xrightarrow{i} \mathcal{L}^0 \xrightarrow{D} \mathcal{L}^1 \xrightarrow{D} \dots \xrightarrow{D} \mathcal{L}^n \longrightarrow 0.$$

This sequence is exact. Exactness at  $\mathcal{L}^0$  is clear. In fact, if  $(X, f) \in \mathcal{L}^0$  and  $D(X, f) = (\nabla X - \eta f, df) = 0$ , then  $df = 0$  and  $\nabla X = f\eta$  which imply  $f = c$  and  $\nabla X = c\eta$ , i.e.,

$$\nabla_j X^i = c\delta^i_j.$$

Hence  $(X, f) = i(X)$ . Exactness at  $\mathcal{L}^p, p > 0$ , is a consequence of the Poincaré lemma for  $D$ . The  $\mathcal{L}^p, p = 0, 1, \dots, n$ , being fine sheaves the sequence (19) gives a fine resolution of  $\mathcal{S}$ . Hence we obtain

**Theorem 1.** *The curvature groups of a (pseudo-) Riemannian manifold are isomorphic with the cohomology groups of the space with coefficients in the sheaf of germs of homothetic  $s$ -fields.*

**Corollary 1.** *The curvature groups of a (pseudo-) Riemannian manifold whose Ricci tensor is nondegenerate everywhere are trivial.*

**Corollary 2.** *The curvature groups of an Einstein space with nonvanishing scalar curvature vanish. Hence an Einstein space with at least one nonvanishing curvature group is Ricci flat.*

The proof of Corollary 1 follows immediately from the last paragraph of § 4, and Corollary 2 is a consequence of Corollary 1.

If the scalar curvature is a nonzero constant, it is an easy consequence of (16) that the system

$$\nabla_j X^i = c\delta_j^i$$

cannot have a solution except possibly when  $c = 0$ . Hence

**Theorem 2.** *The curvature groups of a Riemannian manifold with constant nonzero scalar curvature are isomorphic with the cohomology groups of the manifold with coefficients in the sheaf of germs of its parallel vector fields.*

**Remark.** If the Ricci tensor is nondegenerate everywhere, then a  $D$ -closed 1-form  $(\lambda, \alpha)$  can be expressed as  $(-f\eta, df)$  for some  $C^\infty$  function  $f$ . For, by Corollary 1,  $(\lambda, \alpha) = D(X, f) = (\nabla X - f\eta, df)$ . But  $\nabla^2 X = 0$  which by (8) implies  $X^i R_{ijkl} = 0$ , and by contraction  $X^i R_{ij} = 0$ , from which  $X$  is zero.

### 6. Relation between the curvature groups and de Rham groups

Let  $\Sigma^p$  be the  $\mathfrak{F}$ -module of vector-valued forms of the type  $\eta \wedge \varphi$ , where  $\varphi$  is a scalar-valued  $(p - 1)$ -form. The covariant differential  $\nabla : \Sigma^p \rightarrow \Sigma^{p+1}$  is then given by

$$(20) \quad \nabla(\eta \wedge \varphi) = -\eta \wedge d\varphi,$$

and it is a trivial fact that  $\nabla^2(\eta \wedge \varphi) = \nabla(\nabla(\eta \wedge \varphi)) = 0$ .

Consider the cochain complex  $\Sigma = (\bigoplus_{p=1}^n \Sigma^p, \nabla^p)$ , where  $\nabla^p = \nabla : \Sigma^p \rightarrow \Sigma^{p+1}$ , and let

$$H^p(\Sigma) = \text{Ker } \nabla^p / \text{Im } \nabla^{p-1}$$

denote its  $p$ -th cohomology group. Define  $H^1(\Sigma) = \text{Ker } \nabla^1$ .

The correspondence  $\varphi \rightarrow \eta \wedge \varphi$  establishes a 1 - 1 mapping of the module of  $p$ -forms on  $M$  onto  $\Sigma^{p+1}$ ,  $p = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$ . It is easy to see from (20) that under this mapping  $d$ -closed forms are mapped into  $\nabla$ -closed forms, and  $d$ -exact forms into  $\nabla$ -exact forms.

A multiplication “ $\cdot$ ” between the elements of  $\Sigma$  is defined by

$$(\eta \wedge \varphi) \cdot (\eta \wedge \psi) = \eta \wedge \varphi \wedge \psi \in \Sigma^{p+q-1},$$

where  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are scalar-valued  $(p - 1)$ - and  $(q - 1)$ -forms, respectively. It is easily seen that

$$\begin{aligned} (\eta \wedge \varphi) \cdot (\eta \wedge \psi) &= (-1)^{pq}(\eta \wedge \psi) \cdot (\eta \wedge \varphi), \\ \nabla[(\eta \wedge \varphi) \cdot (\eta \wedge \psi)] &= \nabla(\eta \wedge \varphi) \cdot \eta \wedge \psi + (-1)^{p-1} \eta \wedge \varphi \cdot \nabla(\eta \wedge \psi). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\Sigma$  is a graded ring, and  $\nabla$  is a derivation on  $\Sigma$ .

**Lemma 1.** *The  $p$ -dimensional de Rham cohomology groups of  $M$  are isomorphic with the groups  $H^{p+1}(\Sigma)$ ,  $p = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$ . Moreover, their cohomology rings are also isomorphic.*

The group  $\tilde{S}$  of homothetic  $s$ -fields may also be characterized as solutions of

$$(21) \quad \nabla X = c\eta .$$

Note that if (21) has a solution for some  $c \neq 0$ , then it has a solution for every  $c \in R$ . We therefore have a sequence of homomorphisms

$$(22) \quad 0 \xrightarrow{i} \tilde{S} \xrightarrow{\nabla} \Sigma^1 \xrightarrow{\nabla} \Sigma^2 \xrightarrow{\nabla} \dots \xrightarrow{\nabla} \Sigma^n \longrightarrow 0 .$$

As before, let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the sheaf of germs of homothetic  $s$ -fields of  $M$ , and let  $\mathcal{S}^p, p = 1, \dots, n$ , denote the sheaves of germs associated with  $\Sigma^p$ . The sequence (22) induces the sequence of sheaf homomorphisms

$$(23) \quad 0 \xrightarrow{i} \mathcal{S} \xrightarrow{\nabla} \mathcal{S}^1 \xrightarrow{\nabla} \mathcal{S}^2 \xrightarrow{\nabla} \dots \xrightarrow{\nabla} \mathcal{S}^n \xrightarrow{\nabla} 0 .$$

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $(M, \gamma)$  be a (pseudo-) Riemannian manifold. If (21) has a solution for some  $c \neq 0$  but no nonzero solution for  $c = 0$  (locally), then the sequence (23) is exact.*

*Proof.* Exactness at  $\mathcal{S}$  follows from the assumption that there are no parallel vector fields. Now let  $f\eta \in \Sigma^1$  be  $\nabla$ -closed; then  $\nabla(f\eta) = -\eta \wedge df$  implies  $f = c \neq 0$  (for, otherwise  $f\eta = 0$ ). By hypothesis, there exists an  $X \in \mathcal{S}$  such that  $\nabla X = c\eta$ . Let  $\eta \wedge \varphi$  be a  $\nabla$ -closed form in  $\Sigma^p, p \leq n - 1$ . Then  $\nabla(\eta \wedge \varphi) = -\eta \wedge d\varphi = 0$  implies  $d\varphi = 0$ , so by the Poincaré lemma  $\varphi = d\sigma$ , locally. Hence  $\eta \wedge \varphi = -\nabla(\eta \wedge \sigma)$ .

Since the sheaves  $\mathcal{S}^p, p = 1, \dots, n$ , are fine, the sequence (23) gives a fine resolution of  $\mathcal{S}$  under the assumptions of Lemma 2.

**Theorem 3.** *Under the assumptions of Lemma 2 the groups  $H^{p+1}(\Sigma)$  are isomorphic with the cohomology groups  $H^p(M, \mathcal{S}), p = 1, \dots, n - 1$ .*

Theorem 3 together with Theorem 1 yields

**Corollary 3.** *Under the assumptions of Lemma 2, the groups  $H^{p+1}(\Sigma)$  are isomorphic with the curvature groups  $H^p(M, \Gamma), p = 1, \dots, n - 1$ .*

Corollary 3 and Lemma 1 give

**Corollary 4.** *Under the assumptions of Lemma 2, the curvature groups  $H^p(M, \Gamma)$  are isomorphic with the  $p$ -dimensional de Rham groups,  $p = 1, \dots, n - 1$ .*

Corollary 4 also follows in a straightforward manner from Theorem 1 by observing that under the assumptions of Lemma 2, the sheaf  $\mathcal{S}$  is isomorphic to the sheaf of real constants. In fact, for a germ  $X \in \mathcal{S}$  we get a unique constant  $c$  from  $\nabla X = c\eta$ . On the other hand, for any  $c \in R$  the germ  $X$  such that  $\nabla X = c\eta$  is unique, since  $\nabla X_i = c\eta, i = 1, 2$ , implies  $\nabla(X_1 - X_2) = 0$ .



### 7. Concluding remarks

The curvature groups of type  $\rho(G)$  as defined in [2] are the cohomology groups of the sequence of  $p$ -jet forms  $\{(T, S)\}$ ,  $S = -\Omega \wedge Q = \nabla^2 Q$ , where  $T$  is a tensor  $p$ -form and  $Q$  is a tensor  $(p - 1)$ -form. Thus  $S$  belongs to the "ideal generated by curvature". There is a chain operator  $D: (T, S) \rightarrow (\nabla T - S, \nabla^2 T - \nabla S)$ .

Another definition of this cohomology may be given as follows. Consider the quotient module  $\mathcal{T}/\mathcal{D}$ , where  $\mathcal{T}^p$  is the module of tensor  $p$ -forms of type  $\rho(G)$  and  $\mathcal{D}^p = \nabla^2 \mathcal{T}^{p-2} = -\Omega \wedge \mathcal{T}^{p-2}$ . Since  $\nabla \Omega = 0$ ,  $\mathcal{D}$  is invariant under  $\nabla$ , so  $\mathcal{T}/\mathcal{D}$  is operated on by  $\nabla$  with  $\nabla^2 = 0$ . We claim that  $(T, S) \rightarrow T + \mathcal{D}$  is a chain map which induces an isomorphism of the cohomology of  $\mathcal{T} \oplus \mathcal{D}$  onto the cohomology of  $\mathcal{T}/\mathcal{D}$ .

In the special case where  $\mathcal{T}$  is the module of tangent vector-valued forms, there are two other chain maps connecting  $\mathcal{T}/\mathcal{D}$  with the de Rham complex  $\wedge$ . These are  $\wedge^p \rightarrow \mathcal{T}^{p+1}/\mathcal{D}$  given by  $\varepsilon(\eta)$ , where  $\varepsilon(\eta)$  denotes exterior multiplication by the solder form  $\eta$ , and the alternating operator  $\mathcal{A}: \mathcal{T}^p/\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \wedge^{p+1}$ . The curvature identity shows that  $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{D} = 0$  so that the map  $\mathcal{T}/\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \wedge$  is well-defined.

The operator  $\varepsilon(\eta)$  raises the degree of the tensor and the degree of the coefficient form by 1. It is a chain map since torsion is zero, i.e.,  $\nabla \eta = 0$ . (There are similar chain maps, for other degrees, of tensor forms other than those of degree 1.)

As for the alternating operator  $\mathcal{A}$ , we can skew-symmetrize with respect to it and the form indices, thereby getting a chain map which raises the form degree by 1 and lowers the tensor degree by 1.

### Bibliography

- [1] K. Kodaira & D. C. Spencer, *Multifoliate structures*, Ann. of Math. **74** (1961) 52-100.
- [2] I. Vaisman, *The curvature groups of a space form*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa **22** (1968) 331-341.

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS  
EASTERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY